

RUNNING HEAD: The Role Of Policing In Contemporary Society

[Student Name]

TOPIC 1: THE ROLE OF POLICING IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIETY

[Dated]

Table of Contents

Introduction 1

Policing in the UK (London) and Challenges 2

 Urban Complexity 2

 Violent Crime..... 2

 Terrorism 3

 Technology and Cybercrime..... 3

 Resource Constraints..... 3

 Public Trust and Accountability 4

 Changing Policing Methods 4

Policing Practices..... 4

 Community Policing 4

 Implication 5

 Intelligence-Led Policing 5

 Implication 5

 Preventive Initiatives..... 6

 Implication 6

 Technology Integration 6

 Implication 6

 Use of Force Policies..... 7

RUNNING HEAD: The Role Of Policing In Contemporary Society

Implication7

Accountability Mechanisms7

Implication7

Accountability in Policing7

Conclusion9

References10

Introduction

Standing as a pillar of contemporary Society, policing is charged with the vital duties of preserving justice, guaranteeing public safety, and protecting law and order. Because law enforcement organizations are entrusted with upholding the core values of a fair and orderly society, they have a significant influence on the formation of Society (Jinping, 2017). This article explores the complex function of police in modern Society, emphasizing the difficulties faced by judicial organizations, the strategies and tactics they use, and the systems in place to ensure transparency.

It is impossible to exaggerate the value of enforcement. It acts as the outside face of the legal system, providing communities and individuals with safety, stopping and dealing with illegal activity, and defending the principles of law. The institution of policing plays a crucial role in bridging the gap between the practical execution of legislation and the operation of democratic societies (Loader, 2017). The purpose of this assignment is to critically analyze the structure of enforcement and highlight the many facets that law enforcement organizations face in the modern world.

This article looks at the difficulties that law enforcement officials encounter in today's world, as well as the procedures they use to uphold law and order and accountability systems. Because of changes in Society, technology, and public expectations, the job of policing has changed dramatically. People in general and those employed by the justice system in general must comprehend these changes (Friedman and Ladinsky, 2021). The purpose of the article is to provide a critical evaluation of the difficulties and procedures faced by law enforcement organizations in order to advance knowledge of this essential facet of contemporary Society. It underlines how

crucial community-focused, responsible, and successful policing is to the health of individuals and the larger social order.

Policing in the UK (London) and Challenges

The field of enforcement in the United Kingdom, and especially in London, is different due to its historical roots, dynamically changing Society, and distinctive set of difficulties. The diversified and heavily populated environment in which law enforcement functions in London's capital presents a number of challenges for policing organizations. This section will look at the particular difficulties that the London police have to deal with, emphasizing important problems and providing data to back up our arguments.

Urban Complexity

Because London is a global metropolis, policing there is inherently difficult. The population of the city is incredibly diversified, with many distinct groups coexisting and a wide range of languages spoken, both in regard to demographics and customs (Hasan, 2022). The police have particular difficulties as a result of this variety, such as handling culturally delicate situations, maintaining clear communication, and fostering trust among diverse populations. To close the gap between law enforcement and the different communities they serve, the Metropolitan Police Service within London, for instance, has introduced community policing projects.

Violent Crime

Like many other large cities, London has problems with violent crime. Knife crime has increased in the past few years, especially involving young people. Police are tasked with stopping the spread of lethal weapons and decreasing violent events. A multifaceted strategy involving law enforcement, community involvement, education, and social initiatives is needed to address this

situation. An example of this is the "Operation Sceptre" campaign, which targets both criminals and those who pose a risk in an effort to minimize knife crime (Williams and Squires, 2021).

Terrorism

Numerous terrorist attacks have occurred in London, the most famous ones being the 7/7 bombings, along with additional recent ones like the attack on London Bridge. In order to combat terrorism, London's police force must strike a balance between enforcing security measures and upholding civil freedoms (Baker et al., 2017). In order to prevent possible attackers while upholding individual rights, initiatives such as "Project Servator" are being carried out to ensure a conspicuous police presence in populated places.

Technology and Cybercrime

The swift progression of technology has presented novel obstacles for law enforcement. Cybercrime, which includes data breaches, hacking, and online fraud, has grown to be a serious threat. Law enforcement organizations need to adjust to the changing nature of criminal activity constantly. For example, the City of London Police has dedicated departments to the fight against money laundering and cyberattacks (Levi et al., 2016).

Resource Constraints

London's police force has been influenced by financial and resource limits, making it more difficult to allocate enough personnel and equipment for certain jobs (Lemarleni et al., 2017). These limitations may make it more difficult to respond to events promptly and to fund preventative actions. Cooperation across organizations and efficient resource allocation are essential to addressing this issue.

Public Trust and Accountability

Similar to other regions globally, London's police force has been subject to examination and censure, specifically with claims of disproportionate force, racial prejudice, and inadequate responsibility. It is not easy to gain and keep the public's trust; strong supervision procedures, body-worn cameras, and openness are all necessary (MacQueen and Bradford, 2015). The task of looking into complaints against the police and making sure that the police are held accountable falls to the Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC).

Changing Policing Methods

London police have changed over time to meet shifting demands and expectations. Projects, including "Policing by Consent", highlight the value of working with neighbourhood groups and the public, emphasizing a focus on the community approach (Alpert et al., 2014). Using intelligence-based law enforcement and data analysis has also emerged as a key tactic for maximizing the utilization of resources and preventing crime.

Policing Practices

Law enforcement organizations use a range of strategies and tactics to handle the particular difficulties that British policing, especially in London, encounters. These tactics are necessary to protect the application of law, preserve public safety, and guarantee accountability. In light of London's difficulties, we shall look at some of the most important policing techniques and their repercussions in this section.

Community Policing

One key tactic used to close the divide between the police and London's various populations is community policing. Officers are assigned to certain regions and are encouraged to interact with

the community as part of this practice routinely (Zhang, 2016). Building trust, acquiring information, and attending to the unique needs and concerns of each community are the objectives. This approach has demonstrated the potential to strengthen public-police relations, improve crime prevention, and increase the number of crimes reported. It does, however, need a substantial time and resource commitment, which can be difficult in a large city like London.

Implication

Throughout communities, neighbourhood law enforcement promotes a sense of participation and ownership. It can foster a deeper comprehension of regional challenges and result in a police force that is more successful as well as responsive. But the populations that they serve and the police must continue to be committed to it.

Intelligence-Led Policing

The London police have used intelligence-based law enforcement in response to issues like violent crime and terrorist attacks. This method entails obtaining and evaluating data in order to spot patterns in criminal activity and efficiently distribute resources. Focusing on high-risk locations and people helps law enforcement respond more quickly and deters criminal conduct (Shapiro, 2019). One example of how data is being used to track and manage those involved in violence associated with gangs is the "Gang Matrix" system used by the Metropolitan Police.

Implication

The efficiency of law enforcement is increased by the more strategic use of resources made possible by policing based on intelligence. However, in order to guarantee responsibility and justice, issues with the correctness of data, as well as prejudice, must be solved.

Preventive Initiatives

The police have put preventive measures in place to deal with issues like knife crimes and terrorist attacks. For instance, the "Knife Crime Strategy" emphasizes adolescent engagement, early intervention, and collaboration with schools as ways to reroute people away from crime (Phillips et al., 2022). The "Prevent" program is part of counterintelligence measures, with the goal of identifying and assisting those who are in danger of extremism prior to engaging in extremist activity.

Implication

Enhancing community safety and lowering crime rates need proactive measures. Yet, there needs to be a careful balance made between upholding civil rights and early assistance, and it is important to prevent stigmatizing particular populations.

Technology Integration

According to Lum et al. (2017), London police have incorporated a range of technologies to improve their efficacy and efficiency. This involves applying data analytics to police prediction and using body-worn surveillance devices to increase accountability and transparency. An extensive CCTV network utilized for security purposes and prevention of crime is the "Ring of Steel" monitoring network that covers the City of London.

Implication

From collecting evidence to analyzing crimes, technology integration may greatly enhance enforcement capacities. But it also brings up security and confidentiality of information issues, which calls for monitoring and clear policies.

Use of Force Policies

London police have reviewed its use of force policy in accordance with concerns over the overuse of force. De-escalation methods, emergency response instruction, and reducing the use of deadly force are prioritized (Deveau, 2021). In some circumstances, "Less Lethal" options like tasers are employed in place of guns.

Implication

Revision of the use of violence regulations safeguards individual rights and encourages responsibility. However, it necessitates extensive training as well as a shift in police force culture.

Accountability Mechanisms

To ensure accountability in police, the Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC) is essential. It looks into grievances and misbehaviour claims, protecting the public's ability to voice complaints regarding the way the police act (Moran and Hodge, 2020). In addition, the IOPC upholds public confidence in law enforcement while advancing openness.

Implication

Accountability procedures are necessary to retain public confidence and guarantee that police acts are scrutinized. The efficiency of these oversight organizations and their ability to deal with systemic problems, however, continue to be problems.

Accountability in Policing

In order to guarantee the moral and legal behaviour of law enforcement organizations, accountability is an essential component of law enforcement. Independent oversight groups, such as the UK's IOPC, are essential in keeping law enforcement agencies responsible since they look into claims of wrongdoing and power abuse. They serve as a liaison between the general population

and law enforcement, offering unbiased evaluations of grievances and occurrences. According to Coudert et al. (2015), criminal justice agencies are obligated to provide information on their operations, use of aggression, and public encounters. Transparency is critical to accountability. Frequent reporting promotes public trust and enables outside assessments of police tactics. Police personnel can prevent wrongdoing and provide evidence for incident reviews by recording contacts between the public and the police through the deployment of body-worn cameras.

Well-defined use-of-force regulations that prioritize de-escalation tactics and the least amount of force required to accomplish justifiable goals strengthen accountability. Internal affairs divisions look into misconduct claims, and infractions are dealt with and corrected through disciplinary processes. According to Hammarfelt and De Rijcke (2015), disciplinary measures and in-depth investigations are required to attain accountability in law enforcement. It is impossible to overestimate the significance of these components in guaranteeing responsibility. They act as a check and balance to ensure that law enforcement organizations continue to operate morally and legally.

Accountability procedures are essential for fostering public confidence, averting power abuse, guaranteeing justice and equity, and motivating law enforcement organizations to evaluate and enhance their operations continuously. They increase trust in the acts of the police, encouraging collaboration and confidence in their capacity to serve and protect. Accountability procedures serve to prevent police personnel from abusing their authority and guarantee that they follow specified norms and guidelines (Bibas, 2008). By giving people a way to pursue justice and empowering the legal system to deal with wrongdoing and illegal activity, they help advance justice's impartiality. In the end, accountability systems aid in law enforcement organizations' capacity to adjust to changing conditions and public expectations.

Conclusion

Modern civilization presents many difficulties for law enforcement, particularly in the distinctive circumstances of London. These difficulties range from violent crime and urban complexities to cyber threats and terrorism. This article has looked at the many facets of policing, the strategies used to deal with these issues, and the systems in place to guarantee accountability. While intelligence-led police try to target crime patterns efficiently, local law enforcement works to foster understanding and trust among various communities. Revisions to use of force regulations, technological integration, and preventive programs all help to create a more responsible and responsive legal system.

Internal affairs units, openness, and independent monitoring organizations are essential components that help preserve public confidence and hold law enforcement officials responsible for their acts. The foundation of an orderly and just community is affected by the larger effects of police in modern Society. In addition to improving public safety, efficient policing also promotes social cohesiveness and trust in the system of law. A democratic society is strengthened when police are conducted with openness, responsibility, and dedication to protecting individual rights. This ensures that the principles of justice and freedom of speech are maintained for everyone. The efficacy and accountability of police are critical to the welfare of individuals and the larger social order in a world where problems are always changing.

References

- Alpert, G.P., Dunham, R.G. and Stroschine, M.S., 2014. *Policing: Continuity and change*. Waveland Press.
- Baker, D., Bronitt, S. and Stenning, P., 2017. Policing protest, security and freedom: The 2014 G20 experience. *Police Practice and Research*, 18(5), pp.425-448.
- Bibas, S., 2008. Prosecutorial regulation versus prosecutorial accountability. *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 157, p.959.
- Coudert, F., Butin, D. and Le Métayer, D., 2015. Body-worn cameras for police accountability: Opportunities and risks. *Computer law & security review*, 31(6), pp.749-762.
- Deveau, L., 2021. Police De-Escalation Training & Education: Nationally, Provincially, and Municipally: This article is related directly to the 6th International Law Enforcement & Public Health (LEPH) Virtual Conference in March 2021. *Journal of Community Safety and Well-Being*, 6(1), pp.2-5.
- Friedman, L.M. and Ladinsky, J., 2021. Social change and the law of industrial accidents. In *Governing Risks* (pp. 127-159). Routledge.
- Hammarfelt, B. and De Rijcke, S., 2015. Accountability in context: Effects of research evaluation systems on publication practices, disciplinary norms, and individual working routines in the faculty of Arts at Uppsala University. *Research Evaluation*, 24(1), pp.63-77.
- Hasan, E., 2022. Including Sikhs, Muslims, and Rastafarians in the London Metropolitan Police Force. In *Embracing Workplace Religious Diversity and Inclusion: Key Challenges and Solutions* (pp. 89-104). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Jinping, X., 2017, October. Secure a decisive victory in building a moderately prosperous society in all respects and strive for the great success of socialism with Chinese characteristics for a new era. In *delivered at the 19th National Congress of the Communist Party of China October* (Vol. 18, No. 2017, pp. 2017-11).

Lemarleni, J.E., Ochieng, I., Gakobo, T. and Mwaura, P., 2017. Effects of resource allocation on strategy implementation at Kenya Police Service in Nairobi County. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration*, 2(4), pp.1-26.

Levi, M., Doig, A., Gundur, R., Wall, D. and Williams, M.L., 2016. The implications of economic cybercrime for policing.

Loader, I., 2017. Policing, securitization and democratization in Europe. In *Crime and Security* (pp. 423-451). Routledge.

Lum, C., Koper, C.S. and Willis, J., 2017. Understanding the limits of technology's impact on police effectiveness. *Police Quarterly*, 20(2), pp.135-163.

MacQueen, S. and Bradford, B., 2015. Enhancing public trust and police legitimacy during road traffic encounters results from a randomised controlled trial in Scotland. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 11, pp.419-443.

Moran, R. and Hodge, J., 2020. Law enforcement perspectives on public access to misconduct records. *Cardozo L. Rev.*, 42, p.1237.

Phillips, J., Hamilton, P., Coleman, C. and Whitfield, K., 2022. Promising approaches to knife crime: an exploratory study.

Shapiro, A., 2019. Predictive policing for reform? Indeterminacy and intervention in big data policing. *Surveillance & Society*, 17(3/4), pp.456-472.

Williams, E. and Squires, P., 2021. *Rethinking Knife Crime: Policing, Violence and Moral Panic?*. Springer Nature.

Zhang, W., Cao, G., Li, X., Zhang, H., Wang, C., Liu, Q., Chen, X., Cui, Z., Shen, J., Jiang, R. and Mi, G., 2016. Closing yield gaps in China by empowering smallholder farmers. *Nature*, 537(7622), pp.671-674.